Histopathological and immunohistochemical study of the hepatic lesions experimentally induced by Entamoeba dispar
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Abstract

The sequence of hepatic necrotic-inflammatory events produced by Entamoeba dispar are originally described in this work. For the first time the experimental lesions produced by E. dispar were described in details, as well as the distribution of the trophozoites detected by the immunohistochemistry. Animals experimentally infected with E. dispar presented necrosis, thrombosis and chronic granulomatous inflammation. Immunoreactive products derived from trophozoites were observed close or associated with trophozoites, epitheloid cells, leucocytes and hepatocytes. Few are the articles on the literature about virulence of E. dispar, which is approximately 9 times more frequent than E. histolytica (E. histolytica). Variation in the virulence is therefore expected and signalizing the need of the continuity of studies with E. dispar strains from different places in the world. Taking into account that E. dispar is a closely related species to E. histolytica, these studies could determine new elements involved with E. histolytica pathogenesis, helping us to better understand the disease.

Introduction

Entamoeba histolytica (E. histolytica) is a protozoan, which causes amebiasis. It infects approximately 10% of people all over the world and causes about 100 thousand deaths per year; it is considered the second most common cause of mortality by protozooses.¹ E. histolytica resides at the lower intestine, but can occasionally invade the intestinal mucosa and, through blood, disseminate to other organs. The factors that trigger this invasion are still not completely understood. The intestinal infection varies from asymptomatic colonization to serious invasive infections with bleeding diarrhea. The most common site for extra-intestinal disease is the liver, where E. histolytica causes slow expanding necrosis (amoebic liver abscess), but can also occur in the diaphragm and right lung because of contiguity with the hepatic lesion; in the left lung, brain and pericardium by the hematogenous route.

In spite of the great number of deaths for the disease, most of those infected are asymptomatic. In this context, the existence of another species could explain the asymptomatic cases; E. dispar is the name given to this species.² The data accumulated along the 80’s gave us support to the existence of E. dispar.³ In 1997, the World Health Organization admitted the existence of E. dispar as a non-invasive entity, morphologically similar to E. histolytica, but not able to cause amebiasis. This ameba is responsible for the majority of asymptomatic infections previously attributed to E. histolytica and can only produce erosions in the intestinal mucose of experimental animals.³ Little is known about this new species at the virulence level for experimental animals, since the majority of the cultivated strains do not present infective capacity. Preliminary results, obtained in our laboratory, have shown that E. dispar isolated from asymptomatic patients and clinical cases of non-dysenteric colitis produced hepatic and intestinal lesions in hamsters (Mesocricetus auratus), similar to those produced by E. histolytica isolated from asymptomatic ones patients.⁴ Hepatic and intestinal lesions were also produced in wistar rats and swiss mice through inoculation of E. dispar (unpublished data).

In a previous work, hamsters also developed hepatic lesions quantitatively and qualitatively similar to those induced by E. histolytica.⁵ In addition, a gradual decrease was observed in the number of trophozoites in the course of infection. The decrease of trophozoites number associated with progressive increase of necrosis during inflammatory kinetics suggested that trophozoites destruction could promote the consequent release of toxic products that would contribute to the increase of necrosis. Here, we study the pathogenicity of one E. dispar strain by histopathological analysis in different periods of the infection of hamster liver, following the trophozoites through immunohistochemistry.

Materials and Methods

Strains and culture conditions

The MCR strain was isolated from an asymptomatic carrier residing in Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil with negative serology for E. histolytica. Stool microscopic examination showed, beyond amoebae, yeast and Blastocystis. Miconalzole was used to eliminate Blastocystis and yeast, which could affect the amoeba’s growth in the culture.⁶ MCR showed the pattern I of isoenzyme, typical of E. dispar species.⁷ The use of specific probes to amplify regions of rDNA from E. histolytica/E. dispar complex also identified the sample as E. dispar.⁸ Trophozoites were grown in Pavlova’s medium modified by Silva and Mayrink⁹ at 37°C, and subcultured three times a week. One E. histolytica strain was used as control.

Inoculation

All procedures were conducted in accordance to the Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation, registered by number: CETEA 007/04. Twenty-five hamsters - Mesocricetus auratus - were inoculated via intra-hepatic route (in the left lobe) with E. dispar MCR strain. Inocula consisted of 100,000 trophozoites in 0.1 mL of saline. Three animals were inoculated with the flora of the strain in order to control the possibility of bacterial lesions formation.

Necropsy and histopathology

The animals were observed daily and groups...
of five animals were necropsied in the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 6th and 8th day after inoculation. All the animals inoculated with the flora were sacrificed at and after infection. Liver fragments were collected and fixed in 10% buffered formaldehyde pH 7.2. After processing in alcohol and xylol, fragments were included in paraffin and 4 μm thick sections were obtained and stained by haematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

Preparation of antigenic pools of E. dispar

Trophozoites of E. dispar were cultivated in bottles containing Pavlova media at 37°C. The cultures were then washed 6 times with a buffered saline solution at 2,000 xg. The trophozoites pellets were treated with protease inhibitors (2 mM phenylmethyl chloromethyl ketone; 2 mM iodoacetamide and 2 mM p-hydroxy mercuronbenzoate), and then ultrasonicated (frequency of 40 hertz) three times for 1 min. The protein content of the homogenate was determined by Lowry method and stored at -20°C.17

Obtaining immune serum

Two rats were inoculated intraperitoneally with 5x10^7 trophozoites of the MCR strain. Fifteen days later, 5 mg of homogenate MCR, previously emulsified in Freund’s complete adjuvant, was subcutaneously administered. Ten days later, the blood was collected after a thoracic opening and sera were separated and stored at -20°C. ELISA tests were performed to evaluate the specificity of antisera against antigens of E. dispar.

Immunohistochemistry

Using the same tissue fragments already mentioned, streptavidin-peroxidase immunohistochemical reactions for detection of trophozoites were performed. Sections were treated with 3.5% PBS/H2O2 solution for blocking endogenous peroxidase. Unspecific binding was blocked by goat serum diluted 1:50. The sections were incubated with anti-E. dispar serum diluted 1:2,000, followed by biotinylated goat IgG diluted 1:100 (Zymed Laboratories Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA) and streptavidin diluted 1:100 (Zymed Laboratories Inc.). The color was detected using a 0.05% diaminobenzidine solution and 0.2% H2O2 and 0.05% Inc.). The color was detected using a 0.05% H2O2 and 0.05% peroxidase. Inc.)

**Results**

**Histopathological analyses**

Amebic hepatic lesions were macroscopically detected in almost all the animals inoculated with E. dispar. Twenty-four hours after infection the lesion was visible at the left lobe; it was single, white-yellowish colored and well delimited. A histopathological description in the different periods of infection is shown below. The frequency of some alterations is listed in Table 1.

**1st day after inoculation**

Single necrosis zone, well delimited by clumping of cell debris and by normal hepatic parenchyma was observed (Figure 1a). Inflammatory infiltrate was discrete and mixed, constituted by neutrophils and macrophages. In one animal, two large necrosis zones were observed. The center was constituted of coagulation necrosis and a few calcification zones. A large number of labeled trophozoites were found on the edges of the lesion in two animals of this group. The parasites were found next to lesioned and normal hepatocytes, as well as inflammatory cells. In these cells positive reactions were also found, indicating the presence of material derived from trophozoites. In another animal, a centrallobular vein showing initial thrombosis was found next to a necrosis zone (Figure 1a).

**2nd day after inoculation**

In all animals, at least one central necrosis zone was observed. The necrosis was well delimited by debris, discrete to moderate inflammatory infiltrate and large number of trophozoites (Figure 1b). Several labeling products of E. dispar were found on the surface and inside host’s cells. A variable number of granulomas containing epithelioid cells and rare giant cells were found (Figures 1c, d). Most of them had either morphologically well preserved or destroyed trophozoites. In one animal three thrombi were observed in branches of the portal vein. They also had trophozoites on the wall and in the vascular lumen, as well as inside the thrombus (Figure 1 e,f). A great number of hepatocytes and leukocytes with suggestive morphologic features of apoptosis (cell shrinkage and chromatin condensation into crescentic masses adjacent to nuclear membrane) were found at the border of the central necrosis zone, as well as in the granulomas.

**3rd day after inoculation**

In three animals granulation tissue occupied most of the destroyed hepatic parenchyma, associated to the predominantly mononuclear inflammatory infiltrate. Numerous granulomas, constituted by a great number of epithelioid cells, macrophages, few lymphocytes and frequent trophozoites were detected in these animals. In the remaining two animals the predominant histopathological aspect was an intense liquefactive necrosis delimited by a large amount of debris, scarce leukocytes and variable number of trophozoites. E. dispar anti-trophozoite immunohistochemistry labeling reaction products were mainly found on the surface of leukocytes. Morphological signs of hepatocyte apoptosis close to the infiltrate were frequent (Figure 2).

**6th day after inoculation**

The border of necrosis zones was constituted by palisades of macrophages; this layer was totally involved by well developed granulation tissue and granulomas. Several giant cells were found in this region. These granulomas had a large number of epithelioid cells, macrophages, debris, and frequently, trophozoites. Anti-trophozoite immunohistochemistry labeling products were always observed close or associated with trophozoites, leucocytes and hepatocytes, inside necrosis zones, on its border and inside granulomas (Figure 3a).

**8th day after inoculation**

Three different histopathological aspects were observed in this group. In two animals numerous and very well organized granulomas were found (Figure 3b, c, d). Externally, granulomas were constituted by a sheath of concentric layers of connective tissue and granulomas. In these animals the necrosis were composed of connective tissue and a few macrophages; this layer was only slightly involved by well developed granulation tissue and granulomas. The necrosis was well delimited by debris, discrete to moderate inflammatory infiltrate and large number of trophozoites (Figure 1b). Several labeling products of E. dispar were found on the surface and inside host’s cells. A variable number of granulomas containing epithelioid cells and rare giant cells were found (Figures 1c, d). Most of them had either morphologically well preserved or destroyed trophozoites. In one animal three thrombi were observed in branches of the portal vein. They also had trophozoites on the wall and in the vascular lumen, as well as inside the thrombus (Figure 1 e,f). A great number of hepatocytes and leukocytes with suggestive morphologic features of apoptosis (cell shrinkage and chromatin condensation into crescentic masses adjacent to nuclear membrane) were found at the border of the central necrosis zone, as well as in the granulomas.

**Table 1. Qualitative and quantitative aspects of the hepatic lesions and trophozoites of E. dispar.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection (Day)</th>
<th>Trophozoites (1)</th>
<th>Intravascular trophozoites (2)</th>
<th>Immunoreactive products (3)</th>
<th>Granuloma tissue</th>
<th>Fibrosis</th>
<th>Thrombosis Granuloma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1º</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2º</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3º</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>3/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4º</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>3/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5º</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The frequency of the lesions and of the trophozoites/immunoreactive products are demonstrated in the proportion: number of positive animals/5 animals of the experimental group. (1) Presence of trophozoites in the normal and necrotic hepatic tissue. (2) Presence of trophozoites in vascular lumen or inside thrombi. (3) Positivity for immunoreactive products derived of trophozoites in the necrosis areas, around or inside hepatocytes and inflammatory cells.
trically arranged macrophages, lymphocytes and neutrophils. The next layer was constituted by a thick clump of well compacted macrophages and epithelioid cells. The central region of the granuloma was constituted by a variable number of epithelioid cells, macrophages, neutrophils, trophozoites and necrosis. In other two animals necrosis zones were not observed. The whole destroyed region was substituted by granulation tissue, which partly evolved to fibrosis zones. Granulomas and a significant number of giant cells were also observed. Granulomas were quite smaller than those described in the two previous animals, with predominance of lymphocytes, followed by epithelioid cells and macrophages. Around the granulomas diffuse mononuclear inflammatory infiltrate was observed. In the remaining animal a condition identical to that described for the 6th day was observed.

Hamsters inoculated with the flora of MCR strain

In all animals macro or microscopic lesions were not observed.

Discussion

Some authors compared the pathogenesis of *E. dispar* with that of *E. histolytica* by inducing hepatic lesions in hamsters through inoculation of *E. dispar* axenic strain. Seven days after inoculation there was an intense inflammatory infiltration constituted by macrophages, lymphocytes and some giant cells. No granulomas, necrosis zones nor trophozoites were found. In contrast, we observed hepatic necrosis in almost all animals inoculated with *E. dispar* except for two animals in which zones of destruction had been completely substituted by granulation tissue and fibrosis. Also, different from the study above cited, the histopathological condition promoted by *E. dispar* in our experiment was similar to that produced by *E. histolytica*, including the development of granulomas after the 2nd day of infection.

Among the 25 animals inoculated with *E. dispar*, 14 had trophozoites in the hepatic parenchyma. There was no doubt about the identity of the parasite, since *E. dispar* strain was previously identified by zymodeme and PCR. *E. dispar* trophozoites were found especially on the border of the lesion and inside necrosis zones, as described in the literature in relation to *E. histolytica*. Similarly to what was described by other authors in relation to the infection produced by *E. histolytica*, the presence of small granulomas constituted of macrophages, epithelioid cells and giant cells in the animals inoculated with *E. dispar*...
were frequent in the 2nd day of infection. This condition was more intense with time after inoculation, forming well-defined granulomas, until larger areas of necrosis were involved by palisades of macrophages and epithelioid cells. Formation of granulomas in amebiasis has also been reported in other experimental models, such as intestinal granulomas, which also appear at the 2nd day after infection in normal and neutropenic rats. However, the pathogenetic mechanisms responsible for this, as well as the antigens and cytokines involved are totally unknown. Some granulomas produced by *E. histolytica* and documented in the literature are identical to those we observed in the animals inoculated with *E. dispar*. Immunohistochemical identification of several *E. dispar* trophozoites inside many granulomas makes it clear that the protozoon participates in their genesis.

A higher number of hepatocytes with cell shrinkage and chromatin condensation into crescentic masses adjacent to nuclear membrane were observed in the 3rd day, with a visible reduction occurring at the 6th and 8th days after infection. Non-classic apoptosis mechanisms seem to be induced by *E. histolytica*. This ameba is capable of inducing apoptosis even when there is over-expression of the Bcl-2 protein, absence of activation via Fas/Fas ligand and the TNF-α receptor. Based on these findings, it has been suggested that *E. histolytica* induces apoptosis by directly activating the distal apoptotic machinery of the host’s cells. In the same study, the authors were able to significantly reduce the apoptotic indices in the intestines of mice through utilization of D-galactose suggesting the involvement of amoebic galactose/N-acetyl-D-galactosamine (Gal/GalNac) lectin. Although *E. histolytica* and *E. dispar* share a high homology for this lectin, we cannot affirm that *E. dispar* is able to induce apoptosis. The *E. dispar* inability to destroy MDCK cells in *vitro* does not allow to evaluate the D-galactose effect on the inhibition of Gal/GalNac lectin. The mediators released by inflammatory cells could have produced apoptosis. Further studies with more direct methodologies would be necessary to study this type of cellular death in animals inoculated with *E. dispar*.

Some molecules related to pathogenic functions in *E. histolytica* are present in *E. dispar*, but in small quantity or they are less active, which could suggest the possibility of evolution of *E. histolytica* to a less aggressive form: *E. dispar*. Another possibility refers to intrinsic variability of this own species to promote the tecidal destruction by some strains like that we have already observed. Indeed, Balb/C mice inoculated with the *E. dispar* strain ADO showed significantly greater number of trophozoites and greater amount of nodular lesions than those observed in mice inoculated with the *E. dispar* strain VEJ. In this experiment, the first group of animals also showed a larger necrosis area and an increase of trophozoites antigens tagged by immunohistochemistry, although a statistically significant difference was not verified (unpublished data). In this context, some *E. dispar* strains could have shown higher virulent potential. The absence of necrosis induced by inoculation of the flora that accompanies the strain, nullifies the possibility that bacteria produced the lesions.

Our results suggest the necessity of studying other strains of *E. dispar* isolated from different places in the world to prove and realize the variation in the virulence of this species. Taking into account that *E. dispar* is a closely related species to *E. histolytica*, these studies could determine new elements involved with *E. histolytica* pathogenesis, helping us to better understand the disease.

**Figure 3.** Hamster liver inoculated with *E. dispar* and immunohistochemistry for *E. dispar* trophozoites, haematoxylin counterstained. (a) 6th day of infection. Presence of trophozoites (arrows) in area of hepatic necrosis (N); positive immunohistochemistry reaction for *E. dispar* antigens inside necrotic hepatocytes (arrowheads). Bar 20 μm. (b) 8th day of infection. Granuloma with area of central necrosis (N) and several stained trophozoites (arrows); well compacted macrophages and epithelioid cells (*); mantle of concentrically arranged macrophages, lymphocytes and neutrophils (M). Bar 100 μm. (c) Higher view of the anterior figure showing foam macrophages with positive cytoplasmatic labeling for *E. dispar* antigens (*); epithelioid cells (arrow heads); trophozoite (arrow); mantle of macrophages, lymphocytes and neutrophils (M); necrosis (N). Bar 20 μm. (d) 8th day of infection. Detail of a mantle of macrophages, lymphocytes and neutrophils; note the positive reaction for *E. dispar* antigens inside inflammatory cells (arrowheads) and close to a Langhans-type giant cell (arrow). Bar 20 μm.
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