Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 protein expression in normal and neoplastic prostatic tissue
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Abstract

A genetic background has been implicated in the development of prostate cancer. Protein microarrays have enabled the identification of proteins, some of which associated with apoptosis, that may play a role in the development of such a tumor. Inhibition of apoptosis is a cofactor that contributes to the onset and progression of prostate cancer, though the molecular mechanisms are not entirely understood. Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1) gene is required for translocation of the apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF) from the mitochondria to the nucleus. Hence, it is involved in programmed cell death. Different PARP-1 gene expression has been observed in various tumors such as glioblastoma, lung, ovarian, endometrial, and skin cancers. We evaluated the expression of PARP-1 protein in prostatic cancer and normal prostate tissues by immunohistochemistry in 40 men with prostate cancer and in 37 normal men. Positive nuclear PARP-1 staining was found in all samples (normal prostate and prostate cancer tissues). No cytoplasmic staining was observed in any sample. PARP-1-positive cells resulted significantly higher in patients with prostate carcinoma compared with controls (P<0.001). PARP-1 over-expression in prostate cancer tissue compared with normal prostate suggests a greater activity of PARP-1 in these tumors. These findings suggest that PARP-1 expression in prostate cancer is an attempt to trigger apoptosis in this type of tumor similarly to what reported in other cancers.

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is one of the most commonly diagnosed male malignancies; some of them are slow growing, whereas others are more aggressive. Many factors, including genetics, have been implicated in the development of Pca. Men who have a brother or father with PCa have twice the risk of developing Pca. Cancer cells may metastasize from the prostate to other parts of the body, particularly the bones and lymph nodes. Moreover, there is a significant number of men who require systemic therapy and ongoing surveillance for advanced Pca. Therefore, genetic studies of this disease and new therapeutic strategies are urgently needed for the prevention and the treatment of PCa.

Apoptosis is a programmed cell death process that takes place under normal physiological and pathological conditions. Inhibition of apoptosis is a critical pathophysiological factor that contributes to the onset and progression of PCa, but the molecular mechanisms are not entirely understood. Therefore, insight into the mechanism(s) of an abnormal apoptosis regulation may be the basis for developing more effective therapeutic approaches to destroy apoptosis-resistant tumor cells, as those found in Pca.

Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1) gene is located at 1q42; it is 43 kb long and is split into 23 exons (OMIM 173870). PARP-1 is a chromatin associated enzyme that participates to cell cycle regulation, transcription, tumorigenesis, and cellular response to DNA damage. PARP-1 has an important role in DNA base excision repair (BER), acting as a nick sensor, and modulator of key DNA repair molecules. Upon activation, PARP-1 synthesizes poly (ADP-ribose) (PAR) using nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+) as a substrate and covalently transfers PAR to nuclear proteins, including nucleosomal core histones, topoisomerases I and II, high mobility group (HMG) proteins, and p53. Genetic and pharmacological studies have shown that PARP-1 overexpression is a key mediator of programmed-necrotic cell death in vivo. Furthermore, PARP1 is required for translocation of the apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF) from the mitochondria to the nucleus and it is proteolytically cleaved at the onset of apoptosis by CASP3. PARP-1 appears to be also involved in programmed cell death processes, such as apoptosis or macroautophagocytotic cell death. Analysis of PARP-1 gene expression showed that PARP-1 is highly expressed in several types of tumors including prostate cancer, colorectal cancer, pancreatic cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, cutaneous malignant melanomas, and glioblastoma multiforme.

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the expression of PARP-1 protein by immunohistochemistry in normal prostate tissues and in prostate cancer according to their Gleason score. The Gleason score system, in addition to other parameters, is used to evaluate the prognosis of men with prostate cancer and to guide the treatment. A Gleason score is given to prostate cancer based upon its microscopic appearance. Cancers with higher Gleason score are more aggressive and have a worse prognosis.

Materials and Methods

Patient and control prostate samples

The study included 40 cases of PCa with different Gleason score, and 37 cases of normal prostatic tissues (6 prostates removed by post mortem autopsy in normal donors and 31 prostatic biopsies). The diagnosis and grading of PCa was made according to the 2005 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) Consensus Conference, by two different pathologists (AG and FF). The protocol was approved by the internal Institutional Review Board and an informed written consent was obtained from each patient with PCa or, if deceased, by his/her relatives.

Immunohistochemical staining

Prostate section (4 m thick) were obtained from all normal donors and PCa patients. All sections were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded following standard methods. P ARP-(F-2), a mouse monoclonal antibody raised against human PARP-1 protein, was used for immunohistochemistry (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Ca., USA).
Biotechnology, Inc., Heidelberg, Germany). As indicated by the manufacturer instructions, this antibody, at the dilution of 1:300, has been shown to reliably recognize PARP-1 proteins in PCa and normal prostate by immunohistochemistry. Slides were deparaffinized, rehydrated, subjected to three 5 min cycles in a microwave at 360 W in citrate buffer, preincubated in 3% H2O2 in citrate buffer, and thoroughly washed in 50 mm Tris-Cl (pH 7.4), 150 mm NaCl Tris buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.05% Tween 20 (washing buffer). Slides were then pre-incubated with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in TBS for 30 min, incubated with 1:300 dilution of anti-PARP-1 antibody in TBS containing 1% BSA, thoroughly washed in washing buffer before detection with the LSAB 2 kit (anti mouse, biotinylated and peroxidase-labeled streptavidin) and 3,3-diaminobenzidine-4HCl (DAB; Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) following the instructions contained in the kit. After detection, the sections were counterstained with haematoxylin, dehydrated and mounted in xylen-based DPX mountant (BDH, Pool, UK).

Microscopic evaluation

Slides were observed and cells visually scored at 10x, 20x, and 40x. To evaluate the percentage of positive tumor cells, at least 500 cells were analyzed for each prostate cancer and normal prostate tissue. PARP-1 positive cells were evaluated independently in a blinded fashion by two observers (GA and SM). No significant difference was observed between the two observers.

Statistical analysis

Results are reported as mean±SEM. Data were analyzed by Student’s t-test or 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Duncan’s Multiple Range test. The statistical significance was accepted when the p value was lower than 0.05.

Results

Patients with prostate carcinoma had a mean of 69.3±8.0 years, which did not differ significantly from controls (73.0±9.0 years). Immunohistochemical analysis of paraffin-embedded sections revealed a strong nuclear signals for PARP-1 staining in both normal and prostate carcinoma cells. No cytoplasmic staining was observed in any sample of both groups (Figure 1; Figure 2 A,B). The percentage of PARP-1-positive cells resulted significantly higher in patients with prostate carcinoma compared with controls (P<0.001, Student’s t-test) (Figure 3). According to the Gleason score, the percentage of cells with positive nuclear PARP-1 staining resulted significantly higher than controls compared to that observed in patients with Gleason scores of 6 (9 cases), 7 (10 cases), 8 (16 cases) or 9 (5 cases) (P<0.05, ANOVA followed by Duncan test) (Figure 4). In addition, the percentage of PARP-1-positive nuclei in patients with a Gleason score of 8 were significantly higher than that observed in patients with a Gleason score of 6 (P<0.05, ANOVA followed by Duncan test) (Figure 4).

Discussion

A significantly higher number in cells expressing PARP-1 proteins in PCa tissues compared with the normal prostate cells confirmed previous studies showing the association of this gene over-expression with tumors. Also, Ossovskaya et al. (2010) had already shown a higher PARP-1 mRNA expression in prostate cancer. The greater expression of PARP-1 protein in PCa compared to normal prostate may relate to the its close functional/structural relationship with the factors which favors the activation of the pro-apoptotic mechanisms, suggesting that pro-apoptotic mechanisms may be activated in these tumors.

PARP-1 gene plays a important role in apoptosis. This may, in part, justify the presence of PARP-1 protein in some tumors, such as non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas, breast, ovarian, lung, endometrial and skin cancers. PARP-1 is an abundant, chromatin-associated enzyme present in all eukaryotic cell nuclei, where it plays an important function in the maintenance of genomic integrity and transcriptional control. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1

Figure 1. Immunohistochemistry of normal prostate. Hematoxylin counterstain; scale bar: 80 µm.

Figure 2. Immunohistochemistry of prostate cancer and normal prostate. A) Prostate cancer Gleason score 6, the red arrows indicate tubules of normal prostate; hematoxylin counterstain; Scale bar: 200 µm. B) Prostate cancer Gleason score 9; hematoxylin counterstain; scale bar: 80 µm.

Figure 3. Percentage of PARP-1-positive nuclear signals in cells of prostate cancer and normal prostate (controls).

Figure 4. Percentage of PARP-1-positive nuclear signals in cells of prostate cancer (according to Gleason score) and normal prostate (controls).
inhibitor, increases the antitumor activity against glioma, intracranial melanoma, lymphoma, and hematological neoplasias. These observations suggest a potential role of PARP-1 inhibition in the treatment of Pca. Activation of PARP-1 gene in response to DNA damage is an important mechanism to maintain the homeostasis or to trigger apoptosis. The function of PARP-1 has been studied in primary human lung cells from normal human bronchial epithelial cells (NHBEC) and peripheral lung cells (PLC) from lung cancer patients grown as explant cultures, over a period of 12 weeks. PARP-1 protein was expressed in all the cell culture derived from bronchial epithelium explants. Recent data on PARP-1 agree on the meaning of this gene expression in tumors. Accordingly, the results of this study indicate that PARP-1 gene may play a role in Pca. In conclusion, PARP-1 over-expression in prostate cancer tissues compared with normal prostate cells suggests a greater activity of PARP-1 in these tumors. In addition, PARP-1 protein expression was expressed in a significantly greater number of cells in sample with a greater Gleason score. These findings lead to hypothesize that PARP-1 protein over-expression in Pca is an attempt to trigger apoptosis in this type of tumor. This conclusion is similar to what already reported in many other cancers.
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