A single simple procedure for dewaxing, hydration and heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER) for immunohistochemistry in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue
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Abstract

Heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER) is widely used for immunohistochemistry on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue and includes temperatures well above the melting point of paraffin. We therefore tested whether traditional xylene-based removal of paraffin is required on sections from paraffin-embedded tissue, when HIER is performed by vigorous boiling in 10 mM Tris/0.5 mM EGTA-buffer (pH=9). Immunohistochemical results using HIER with or without prior dewaxing in xylene were evaluated using 7 primary antibodies targeting proteins located in the cytosol, intracellular vesicles and plasma membrane. No effect of omitting prior dewaxing was observed on staining pattern. Semiquantitative analysis did not show HIER to influence the intensity of labelling consistently. Consequently, quantification of immune labelling intensity using fluorescent secondary antibodies was performed at 5 dilutions of primary antibody with and without prior dewaxing in xylene. No effect of omitting prior dewaxing on signal intensity was detectable indicating similar immunoreactivity in dewaxed and non-dewaxed sections. The intensity of staining the nucleus with the DNA-stain ToPro3 was similarly unaffected by omission of dewaxing in xylene.

In conclusion, the HIER procedure described and tested can be used as a single procedure enabling dewaxing, hydration and epitope retrieval for immunohistochemistry in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue.
mented with EGTA (Protocol A in this study). Results from this procedure have formed the basis for hundreds of scientific publications over these years employing hundreds of different antibodies. As this procedure differs in temperature, time, heating method and pH from the HIER procedures investigated in previous studies of simultaneous dewaxing and HIER, we decided to test if dewaxing in xylene could be excluded before HIER in our protocol without loss of quality of the immunohistochemical results.

Materials and Methods

Tissues

A range of archived formaldehyde-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues were used to determine if the omission of traditional dewaxing and rehydration procedures before HIER would affect immunohistochemical results. The tissues originate from our archive of animal tissues from a number of studies performed in accordance with Danish legislation on animal experiments. Accordingly, no animals were sacrificed for this study. The tissues were all embedded in a blend of microcrystalline paraffin wax and plastic polymers with a melting point between 54 and 57°C [Cellwax Plus (Polymer Added) from Cellpath Ltd., UK, local distributor: Hounisen, Aarhus, Denmark]. The formaldehyde-fixed tissues were dehydrated through incubation in aqueous solutions of increasing ethanol concentration (2 h in 70% ethanol, 2 h in 96% ethanol, 2 h in 99% ethanol) and thereafter left in xylene overnight (minimum of 2 h and subsequently heated to 60°C for 1 h).

Primary antibodies

To test the hypothesis most extensively, we chose a range of primary antibodies raised in different species, from different companies and against various types of proteins (globular, secretory and membrane-bound,) and known to be located in different cellular compartments (cytosol, intracellular vesicles and plasma membrane). We also included one antibody recognizing a phosphorylated epitope.

AE2α/β: a custom made rabbit polyclonal antibody recognizing the a and b isofrom of the anion exchanger AE2.12 Cadherin: C1821 (Sigma-Aldrich, Brandøby, Denmark), mouse monoclonal antibody recognizing various cadherin isoforms.

Calbindin: 10K-C106A ( Fitzgerald Industries Int., MA, USA), mouse monoclonal antibody recognizing the 28 kD isofrom of the calcium-binding protein Calbindin.


Pendrin: 2176, a custom made rabbit polyclonal antibody recognizing the anion exchanger pendrin (SLC26A4).14 pNKCC2: H934, a custom made rabbit polyclonal antibody specifically recognizing a doubly phosphorylated form of the furosemide sensitive sodium, potassium, 2 chloride co-transporter, NKCC2.15

Renin: ISASRRN-GF, (Innovative Research, Novi, MI, USA), sheep polyclonal anti-body recognizing mouse and rat renin.

Secondary antibodies

P0447: horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulins from Dako (Glostrup, Denmark).

P0448: horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins from Dako.

P0163: horseradish peroxidase conjugated rabbit anti-sheep immunoglobulins from Dako.

A11034: Alexa488, conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG from Molecular probes (cat #A11034).

Dewaxing and HIER procedures

Protocol A. Sections were dewaxed in xylene overnight and subsequently passed through 3 x 10 min 99% ethanol and 2 x 10 min 96% ethanol. Endogenous peroxidase activity was then blocked with 0.35% H2O2 (35% H2O2 diluted 1:99 in methanol) for 30 min. Rehydration was completed by a rinse in 96% ethanol, 10 min in 70% ethanol and 3 rinses in ultrapure water. HIER was performed by placing the sections vertically in plastic slide holders (Tissue-Tek 4457, Sakura-Finetek, Varelse, Denmark) in plastic containers with lids (Tissue-Tek 4457, Sakura-Finetek) each containing 200 ml TEG-buffer (10 mM Tris (Sigma 7-9, cat#T1378, Sigma-Aldrich) pH 9 at RT with 0.5 mM Ethylene Glycol Tetraacetic Acid (EGTA) (Tritiplex 6, Merck 1.84855), maintained at room temperature (RT). All 24 slots in each slide holder were filled with a slide. Three identically filled containers were placed symmetrically on the rotating plate in a microwave oven (Whirlpool MD101) and heated at 900 W for 6 min boiling vigorously followed by 10 min at 350W, resulting in gentle pulsatile boiling. Hereafter the sections were left cooling for 30 min in the TEG-buffer at RT.

Protocol B. This protocol was similar to protocol A, except that dewaxing and rehydration was omitted. The sections were thus directly exposed to the HIER procedure. Blockade of endogenous peroxidase activity was performed for 30 min using a 8:1:1 mixture of PBS, 35% H2O2 and methanol after HIER.

Protocol C. Sections were dewaxed in xylene overnight and subsequently passed through 3 x 10 min 99% ethanol and 2 x 10 min 96% ethanol. Endogenous peroxidase activity was then blocked with 0.35% H2O2 for 30 min. Rehydration was completed by a rinse in 96% ethanol, 10 min in 70% ethanol and 3 rinses in ultrapure water. Hereafter, the sections were placed in 10 mM TrisHCl pH 9 with 0.5 mM EGTA at 60°C overnight. Although this procedure is less efficient with respect to target retrieval than protocol A, we included it in this test because we have found it to be instrumental to prevent sections of bone from detaching the slide during HIER.

Protocol D. This protocol was similar to protocol C, except that dewaxing and rehydration was omitted. The sections were thus directly exposed to the 60°C overnight epitope retrieval procedure. Blockade of endogenous peroxidase activity was performed as in protocol B after the epitope retrieval procedure.

Immunolabelling procedure using HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies

The sections prepared through protocol A, B, C, and D were incubated for 30 min in 50 mM NH4Cl to block free aldehyde groups. Subsequently the sections were washed 3 times in blocking solution (10 mM PBS containing 1% BSA, 0.2% gelatine, 0.05%...
Saponin). Antibodies were diluted in 10 mM PBS containing 0.1% BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100 and the sections were incubated with the primary antibodies overnight for 1 h at RT and subsequently at 4°C overnight. The following day, the sections were allowed to reach RT before they were washed 3 times in PBS containing 0.1% BSA, 0.2% gelatine and 0.05% Saponin followed by incubation with secondary antibodies diluted in PBS with 0.1% BSA and 0.3% Triton X-100 for 1 h. After additional 3 washes in PBS containing 0.1% BSA, 0.2% gelatine and 0.05% Saponin, peroxidase activity was detected (10 min incubation) using diaminobenzidine (DAB) (DAB tablets pH 7, #4170, Kem-En-Tec Diagnostics, Taastrup, Denmark) in a final concentration of 1 mg/mL. DAB-tablets were freshly dissolved approximately 20 min before use and activated with 0.35% H2O2 just before use. Then sections were rinsed in PBS for 3 x 10 min, twice in ultrapure water and then counterstained in Mayers Hematoxylin for 2 minutes and placed in cold running tap water for 20 min. Dehydration was performed by 2x3 min incubation in 70%, 96% and 99% ethanol followed by 3 x 5 min in xylene before coverslips were finally mounted using DPX (Merck, 1.01979.0500).

Semiquantitative evaluation of the effects of omitting dewaxing in xylene

To semiquantitatively evaluate if the immunohistochemical results of protocol A and B (omission of dewaxing in xylene) differed consistently, 4 experienced histologists inspected 10 pairs of sections. The sections in each pair were from the same paraffin-block (rat kidney) and processed in parallel, except that one section followed protocol A and the other protocol B. Primary antibody against pendrin and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were used. The sections were anonymised and the pairs were made by randomly selecting sections prepared according to each protocol. Random pairs were prepared for each histologist. The histologists were asked if they recognized any difference between the immunohistochemical labelling intensity and specificity. If a difference was recognized, the histologist was asked to select the section in the pair, which showed superior immunohistochemical labelling with respect to intensity and specificity. If the assignment of superiority with respect to intensity and specificity is random, the number of superior sections from each protocol by each histologist according to the binomial distribution. A probability <0.05 was considered significant to reject the 0-hypothesis of randomness.

Quantification of immunolabelling using fluorophore coupled secondary antibodies

Labelling procedure was similar to the one described above for HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies, except for the following modifications: i) The blockade of endogenous peroxidase activity was omitted. ii) ToPro3 (Molecular probes, T3605) diluted 1:1000 were added to the solution containing secondary antibodies for nuclear labelling. iii) Following the incubation with secondary antibodies only 3 x wash in PBS and a final rinse in ultrapure water were performed before coverslips were mounted using Glycergel (DAKO, C0563) which were supplemented with 2.5%w/v 1,4-Diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Merck, 803456).

One section was labelled with each antibody dilution. The labelled sections were visualized using a Leica Konf SL confocal microscope with a PL APO CS 40.0x 1.25 OIL objective. Images using laser excitation at 488 and 633 nm were obtained separately and subsequently superimposed. ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) was used for image analysis. Regions of interest were drawn by hand around cells which showed signal of immunolabelling following excitation at 488 nm and in which the ToPro3 nuclear staining were clearly visible following excitation at 633 nm. Between 8 and 26 Pendrin-positive cells were encircled in each section. Fluorescence signals from Alexa488 and Topro3 were integrated in each region of interest (ROI) and used for analysis (see Figure 3A for illustration of the ROI’s).
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Results

Immunohistochemical results from protocol A and B revealed no qualitative differences

Cytoplasmic labelling was investigated by labelling for Calbindin, which is a cytosolic protein found (among other places) in the distal nephron. The expected labelling was evident in both protocol A and B (Figure 1 A,B). Further intracellular epitopes were investigated by labelling for CD68 in rat spleen, which localizes to the intracellular vesicular compartments associated with the endocytotic pathway (lysosomes) and by labelling for Renin, which is produced and released by juxtaglomerular cells in the afferent arterioles of renal glomeruli and thus is associated with the secretory pathway. The expected labelling for both proteins was evident in both protocols (Figure 1 C-F). Labelling of epitopes associated with the plasmamembrane was investigated by labelling for AE2, pNKCC2 and Connexin43. AE2 is a basolateral membrane protein found in several cell types, including osteoclasts.15 Osteoclasts in rat upper jaw labelled for AE2 in both protocols A and B (Figure 2 A,B). An antibody recognizing a double phosphorylated epitope on NKCC2 in rat kidney, previously described to be localized only on the apical plasma membrane,9 was used to evaluate qualitative differences in staining of apical plasma membrane proteins and to investigate the sensitivity of phospho-specific epitopes to the applied protocols. The expected labelling was found in both protocols A and B (Figure 2 C,D). Connexin43 is a widely distributed protein forming gap-junctions in many tissues including ameloblasts and papillary cells in the rat enamel organ.11 The expected labelling was seen both in protocol A and B (Figure 2 E,F).

Semiquantitative evaluation did not reveal consistent effects of HIER

In 29 of the 40 comparisons of sections treated according to protocol A and B a difference in labelling intensity was noted (Table 1). In the sections in which a difference was recognized, 2 histologists found significantly better labelling intensity in protocol A, 1 histologist found significantly better labelling intensity in protocol B and 1 histologist did not find any significant difference (Binomial test $P<0.05$). In 9 of the 40 comparisons a difference in labelling specificity was noted (Table 1). In the sections in which a difference was

Table 1. Results of the semiquantitative analysis of the results of protocol A and B. Each histologist inspected and classified 10 pairs of sections in which one section was treated according to protocol A and one section was treated according to protocol B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histologist</th>
<th>Pairs different</th>
<th>Intensity Dewaxed superior</th>
<th>Non-dewaxed superior</th>
<th>P Binomial test</th>
<th>Specificity Dewaxed superior</th>
<th>Non-dewaxed superior</th>
<th>P Binomial test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant at $P<0.05$; n.a., not available.

Figure 2. Comparison of immunolabelling of epitopes associated with the plasma membrane using protocol A (Xylene+HIER) (A,C,E) and protocol B (HIER only) (B,D,F). Immunolabelling for AE2 in osteoclasts in rat jaw (A vs B), pNKCC2 in rat kidney (C vs D) and Connexin43 in rat enamel organ (E vs F) are qualitatively similar in protocol A and B. mTAL, medullary thick ascending limb. Scale bar: 30 µm.
recognized, 1 histologist found significantly better labelling specificity in protocol B and 3 histologists did not find significant differences (Binomial test P<0.05).

Quantification of immunolabelling intensity using fluorescent secondary antibodies and nuclear staining showed no differences between protocol A and B

To quantitatively measure the immunoreactivity of sections treated according to protocol A and B, labelling of a membrane protein in individual renal intercalated cells was quantified using laser confocal microscopy. We used a rabbit polyclonal antibody directed against Pendrin, which is a membrane protein expressed in intercalated B cells and so-called non-A, non-B intercalated cells.14 An example of the images used for quantification is showed in Figure 3A. Quantification revealed a similar reduction in average labelling intensity per cell following dilution of the primary antibody while keeping the concentration of secondary antibody constant using protocol A and B (Figure 3B). Similarly, quantification using laser confocal microscopy revealed no difference between protocol A and B with respect to the average intensity of the nuclear stain ToPro3 per cell per section (Figure 3C).

Protocol C and D resulted in markedly weaker labelling intensity than protocol A and B and dewaxing was not complete in protocol D.

Cadherin localizes to intercalated discs in rat heart.16 As with the other epitopes investigated in this study, no qualitative differences were observed between the results obtained using protocol A and B (Figure 4 A,B). However, compared with protocol A and B (Figure 4 A,C), protocol C and D resulted in weaker immunolabelling (Figure 4 B,D). Similar results were obtained using other antibodies (data not shown). Further, dewaxing was not complete in protocol D, resulting in heterogeneous labelling intensity (Figure 4D).

Discussion

The overall conclusion from this study is that immunohistochemistry on paraffin-embedded tissue does not suffer significantly from the omission of dewaxing in xylene, when the HIER procedure of protocol A and B (boiling in alkaline buffer supplemented with EGTA) is used. This conclusion is based on successful immunodetection of epitopes located on a wide range of subcellular compartments in sections without dewaxing.
Semiquantitative analysis showed that although 3 of 4 histologists noted significant differences between sections treated in protocol A and B with respect to intensity, they did not consistently find one protocol to produce better results than the other. It thus seems clear that experienced histologists are able to distinguish between sections treated according to protocol A and B, but this ability may depend on other aspects of the labelling, e.g., counterstain intensity or colour tone of the DAB-precipitate, which is beyond the scope of this study to identify. In contrast to intensity, only 1 histologist was able to distinguish significantly between the protocols with respect to labelling specificity and found protocol B to produce the best results. Using fluorescent secondary antibodies and quantitation by confocal microscopy, no effect on specific signal intensity could be documented when omitting the dewaxing and rehydration steps. This confirms that the binding capability of the primary antibodies does not differ between dewaxed and non-dewaxed sections. Neither did the omission of xylene mediated dewaxing affect the staining intensity of nuclei using the DNA stain ToPro3.

In some of the previous studies of the possibility of omitting xylene mediated dewaxing, sections were heated for shorter time or to temperatures below the boiling point.\textsuperscript{5,6} Our protocol involves vigorous boiling and we think it is important to adhere to this procedure to obtain reproducible results. Another parameter, which may be of importance, is section thickness. Sections cut to a thickness of 2 µm with a single tissue section on each slide were employed in this study, since this is our laboratory standard. In a previous study, Yörükoglu et al.\textsuperscript{6} employed a wash in warm buffer to prevent the sections to be smeared with liquid paraffin after combined HIER and dewaxing. In our study we did not observe this phenomenon, but if thicker sections are used or several sections are placed on each slide we cannot exclude that the amount of paraffin to be removed may require additional procedures to avoid paraffin droplets to adhere to the sections. The most important parameter for paraffin removal in this procedure seems to be the heating to temperatures well above the melting point of paraffin, since in protocol D, the removal of paraffin was incomplete. This implies, that the exact type of paraffin embedding material could also influence the results, since various polymers have different melting temperatures and solubilities.

In this study we only applied our routine HIER solution and made no attempts to change this in order to improve its dewaxing effects by adding detergents or less polar solvents than water such as diethanolamine, which is a component in the Trilogy\textsuperscript{8} solution. A prior study based on Raman-spectroscopy have showed hexane to be the most efficient dewaxing agent out of a range of solvents, including the commercially available dewaxing, hydration and HIER agents Trilogy\textsuperscript{6} and Histoclear\textsuperscript{6,7}. However, the immunoreactivity after the dewaxing protocol was not systematically tested, so the practical benefit of hexane as dewaxing agent remains to be shown. The commercially available solutions Histoclear\textsuperscript{6}, SkipDewax\textsuperscript{8} and Trilogy\textsuperscript{8} may possess unique beneficial properties based on their proprietary compositions, but this study shows that an aqueous solution, based on standard chemicals can remove paraffin in a HIER procedure without loss of immunoreactivity compared to sections dewaxed in xylene. This study thus supports a simplified procedure for immunohistochemistry, which may help to save time and reduce the exposure of laboratory personnel to organic solvents.

![Figure 4. Comparison of immunolabelling of cadherin in rat heart tissue using protocol A (Xylene+HIER) (A); protocol B (HIER only) (B); protocol C (Xylene+HIER at 60°C) (C); and protocol D (HIER at 60°C only) (D). No differences were detected between protocol A and B. HIER at only 60°C resulted in weaker immunolabelling of Cadherin irrespective if the sections were dewaxed in xylene (A vs C) or not (B vs D). HIER at 60°C without dewaxing in xylene gave rise to heterogeneous staining intensity due to incomplete removal of paraffin (C vs D). Asterisks indicate areas with incomplete dewaxing in D. Scale bar: 30 µm.](image)
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