MyD88/ERK/NFκB pathways and pro-inflammatory cytokines release in periodontal ligament stem cells stimulated by Porphyromonas gingivalis
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Abstract

The present study was aimed at investigating whether human Periodontal Ligament Stem Cells (hPDLSCs) were capable of sensing and reacting to lipopolysaccharide from Porphyromonas gingivalis (LPS-G) which is widely recognized as a major pathogen in the development and progression of periodontitis. At this purpose hPDLSCs were stimulated with 5 μg/mL LPS-G at various times and the expression of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) was evaluated. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) play an essential role in innate immune signaling in response to microbial infections, and in particular TLR4, type-I transmembrane proteins, has been shown recognizing LPS-G. Our results put in evidence, in treated samples, an overexpression of TLR4 indicating that, hPDLSCs express a functional TLR4 receptor. In addition, LPS-G challenge induces a significant cell growth decrease starting from 24 h until 72 h of treatment. LPS-G leads the activation of the TLR4/MyD88 complex, triggering the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines cascade as: IL-1α, IL-8, TNF-α and β and EOTAXIN. Moreover, the upregulation of pERK/ERKs signaling pathways and NFκB nuclear translocation was evident. On the basis of these observations, we conclude that hPDLSCs could represent an appropriate stem cells niche modeling leading to understand and evaluate the biological mechanisms of periodontal stem cells in response to LPS-G, mimicking in vitro an inflammatory process occurring in vivo in periodontal disease.

Introduction

Periodontal ligament, a soft connective tissue located between the tooth root and the alveolar socket, plays a key role in the regenerative processes of cementum and bone.1,2 Periodontitis is an inflammatory pathological condition giving rise to gingival inflammation, bleeding, extracellular matrix degradation, bone resorption and tooth loss.3 The periodontal pocket is predisposed to the aggressive activities of putative pathogens. This anaerobic gram-negative bacterium, Porphyromonas gingivalis, seems to be involved in periodontitis. Its pathogenicity linked to a wide variety of factors, including lipopolysaccharides (LPS-G), is considered the major player in mediating the pathological events.4,5 and has been reported to upregulate proinflammatory cytokines via a toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)-mediated mechanism.6 Within the periodontal tissues there exists a population of human periodontal ligament stem cells (hPDLSCs); we reported that similarly to other mesenchymal stem cells, hPDLSCs show high self-renewal capability, and have the potential to differentiate into mesengehcnic lineages.7 Moreover, data are being collected on their capability of taking part to tissue regeneration when used with appropriate biomaterials.8,9 Few studies have reported the effects of LPS on hPDLSCs, the aim of the present work was to characterize the molecular pathway triggered by LPS-G in hPDLSCs and to evaluate, in the same cells, the production of a wide array of both inflammatory and anti-inflammatory molecules induced by LPS-G.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Chieti (n°266/17.04.14). Periodontal liga-
tment tissue was collected and cultured as previously described by Diomede et al.10 to obtain hPDLSCs. Briefly, periodontal ligation tissues were carried out from human premolar teeth in healthy donors. Samples were obtained from horizontal fibers of the periodontal ligation by scraping the teeth roots with a Gracey’s curette. Explants were cultured in MSCGM-CD (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.11 The medium was changed twice a week, and cells spontaneously migrated from explants tissues. Human PDLSCs at 2nd passage were used in all experiments.

LPS challenge

hPDLSCs were incubated in absence (ctrl) or in presence of 5 μg/mL of LPS-G (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, USA) for the indicated time periods at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere at 5%CO2.
MTT assay and Trypan Blue cell viability assay

Cell viability and proliferation were evaluated as previously described by Trubiani et al. In brief, for MTT assay, 2x10^5 cells/well were seeded into 96-well plates in 200 μL medium with LPS-G. At the designated time, 24, 48 and 72h, 20 μL MTT (Promega, Milan, Italy) were added to each well and incubated for 4 h. Absorbance at 490 nm was measured with a reference wavelength of 630 nm. Untreated hPDLSCs were used as control cells.

For trypan blue staining, untreated and LPS-G treated hPDLSCs after 24, 48 and 72 h of culture were incubated with 0.5% of trypan blue solution for 10 min at room temperature and subsequently analysed with Burker’s chamber.

Immunohistochemistry and Confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) analysis

Cells were processed as previously reported by Trubiani et al. in order to be observed at CLSM. Cells grown on glass coverslips were fixed for 10 min at RT with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer (PBS), pH 7.2. After being washed in PBS, cultures were processed for immunofluorescence labeling. Samples were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, followed by blocking with 5% skimmed milk in PBS for 30 min. Primary monoclonal antibodies anti-human NFκB (1:200, rabbit), ERK ½ (1:100, rabbit), p-ERK ½ (1:1000, rabbit), MyD88 (1:250, rabbit) and TLR4 (1:250, rabbit) were used, followed by Alexa Fluor 568 red fluorescence conjugated goat anti-rabbit as secondary antibodies (1:200) (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA).

Subsequently, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 phallolidin green fluorescence conjugate (1:400, Molecular Probes, Milan, Italy), to mark the cytoskeleton actin. Before mounting for microscope observation, samples were briefly washed in distilled water and cell nuclei stained with TOPRO (1:200, Molecular Probes) for 1 h at 37°C (Table I).

Staining was visualized using a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta confocal system (Zeiss, Jena, Germany), connected to an inverted Zeiss Axiovert 200 microscope equipped with a Plan Neofluar oil-immersion objective (63X). Images were collected using an argon laser beam with excitation lines at 488 nm and a helium-neon antenna at 543 and 665 nm.

Western blot analysis

Proteins (30 μg) from treated and untreated hPDLSCs were processed as previously described. Proteins were separated on SDS-PAGE and subsequently transferred to nitrocellulose sheets using a semi-dry blotting apparatus. Sheets were saturated for 60 min at 37°C in blocking buffer (1xTBS, 5% milk, 0.05% Tween-20), then incubated overnight at 4°C in blocking buffer containing primary antibodies to TLR4 (1:500), ERK ½ (1:750), p-ERK ½ (1:1000), NFκB (1:500), MyD88 (1μg/ml) and β-actin (1:1000). After four washes in TBS containing 0.1% Tween-20, samples were incubated for 30 min at room temperature with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody diluted 1:1000 in 1x TBS, 5% milk, 0.05% Tween-20. Bands were visualized by the ECL method (16). The level of recovered protein was measured using the Bio-Rad (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) Protein Assay (detergent compatible) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Table 1. Antibodies used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Buffer solution</th>
<th>Incubation time</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NFκB</td>
<td>Origene</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>Milk 2.5%</td>
<td>Overnight (12 h)</td>
<td>4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK ½</td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Milk 2.5%</td>
<td>Overnight (12 h)</td>
<td>4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-ERK ½</td>
<td>(Thermo Fisher, Life)</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Milk 2.5%</td>
<td>Overnight (12 h)</td>
<td>4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyD88</td>
<td>Technologies</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:250</td>
<td>Milk 2.5%</td>
<td>Overnight (12 h)</td>
<td>4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td>Milan, Italy</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:250</td>
<td>Milk 2.5%</td>
<td>Overnight (12 h)</td>
<td>4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa-Fluor 568</td>
<td>Applied Biosystem</td>
<td>Anti-rabbit</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>Milk 2.5%</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa-Fluor 488</td>
<td>(Life Technologies, Milan, Italy)</td>
<td>Anti-rabbit</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>Milk 2.5%</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>37°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cytokines assays

hPDLSCs cells were cultured in 6-well and treated with LPS-G (5 μg/mL). The supernatants of treated and untreated hPDLSCs, after 24h of culture, were collected and subsequently were analyzed by RayBio Human Cytokine Antibody Array kit (Ray Biotech, Norcross, GA, USA) to identify the expression profile of multiple cytokines: Eotaxin, Eotaxin 2, GCSF, GM-CSF, ICAM-1, I-309, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-6 IL-6sR, IL-7, IL-8, IL-10, IL-11, IL-12p40, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL-15, IL-16, IL-17, IP-10, MCP-1 MCP-2, M-CSF, MIG, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, MIP-1d, RANTES, TGF-β1, TNF-α, TNF-β, STNF RI, STNF R2, PDGF-BB and TIMP-2. The test was done according to manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with Graph Pad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Differences between groups were determined using the Student’s t-test. Data were expressed as means ± SEM. A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Cells characterization and proliferation

hPDLSCs were cultured in xeno free medium for their selective proliferation. After culturing, cells with stable fibroblast-like phenotype were used for testing (Figure 1A). Human PDLSCs treated with LPS-G 5 μg/mL for 24 h showed a low density and some morphological modification, as large number of cytoplasmic process
The MTT assay showed significantly higher cell viability (P<0.01) in ctrl hPDLSCs compared to LPS-G exposed cells (Figure 1C). Cell viability decreased up to 15-20% in LPS-G exposed groups after 48 and 72 h of culture. Trypan blue exclusion test was performed to calculate the number of viable hPDLSCs and hPDLSCs treated with 5 µg/mL of LPS-G. Graphs showed a decrease in survival rate when compared with the control groups (Figure 1D).

**TLR4 expression**

TLR4 immunostaining showed a higher expression in hPDLSCs after exposure to LPS-G (Figure 1 E1) when compared to untreated cells (Figure 1 F1). Western blots and their densitometric analysis confirmed results obtained at confocal laser scanning microscopy (Figure 1 G,H). Beta actin has been used as housekeeping protein (Figure 1G).

**Immunofluorescence staining**

To test whether LPS-G modulates signal intracellular pathway we investigated the expression of ERK½, p-ERK½, NFκB and MyD88 during treatment with 5 µg/mL of LPS-G. Immunofluorescence staining and confocal microscopy showed that LPS-G treatment produced an increase of ERK½ (Figure 2 B2), p-ERK½ (Figure 2 D2), NFκB (Figure 2 F2) and MyD88 (Figure 2 D2) compared to untreated hPDLSCs. In particular, in Figure 2 section F2 inset NFκB showed a nuclear translocation in cells after exposure to 5 µg/mL LPS-G.

**Western blot analysis**

Western blotting analysis showed, an up-regulation of the ERK½, MyD88, NFκB and p-ERK½ in treated samples after 24h of treatment, compared to ctrl sample (Figure 2I). Beta actin has been used as housekeeping protein (Figure 2I). Densitometric analysis of protein represents volume quantification of specific bands (Figure 2J).

**Cytokines release**

To examine the general effects of LPS-G on hPDLSCs, we analyzed the release of the pro-inflammatory cytokines. For this purpose, supernatant obtained from ctrl hPDLSCs and hPDLSCs treated with LPS-G were collected after 24h of stimulus. Based on cytokine array data, we used a template set composed of multiple cytokines (Figure 3C). Spots marked on membranes indicate the modulated cytokines (Figure 3 A,B).

Figure 3D shows significative statistically differences cytokines expression in cells treated with LPS-G, in particular an upregulation of the secretion of IL-1α, IL-8, IL-15, Eotaxin, Eotaxin 2, M-CSF, TGF-β1, TNF-α and TNF-β has been detected respect to control cells. On the contrary, a decrease of IL-10 and TIMP-2 was detected.

**Discussion**

Periodontitis, a chronic oral inflammatory disease can be due to major periodontitis-causing pathogens, such as Porphyromonas gingivalis, Tannenberg for-
sythia and Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans. LPS from Porphyromonas gingivalis has been identified as the master factor inducing periodontal disease.

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are the major cell-surface initiators of inflammatory responses to pathogens. In particular, TLR4 represents the first recognition receptor pattern for LPS triggering the up-regulation of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1β, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α in periodontitis.

The responses to Porphyromonas gingivalis are strongly different among individuals, and previous studies stated that 5 μg/mL of LPS-G for 24 h was identified as the optimal dosage/time to induce the strongest inflammation in hGFs. Based on this evidence we have carried out our experiments by inducing in hPDLSCs an inflammatory process using 5 μg/mL LPS-G for 24 h.

In the first instance we have focused our attention on the evaluation of TLR4 expression in hPDLSCs and in hPDLSCs treated with LPS-G. The results obtained in the treated samples put in evidence an increased expression of TLR4 surface receptor, evidenced through immunohistochemistry and western blotting analysis. Considering that all TLRs, with the exception of TLR3, employ the adaptor protein MyD88 (Myeloid differentiation pathway) as signal machinery, we have evaluated MyD88 expression in treated hPDLSCS. It has been previously described that: (i) TLR4 and MyD88 signaling results in the activation of downstream kinases leading to the degradation of IκB, which frees NF-xB to translocate to the nucleus where it binds xB sites in the promoter region of genes encoding pro-inflammatory cytokines; and (ii) the overexpression of the complex TLR4/MyD88 habitually induces pro-inflammatory cytokines cascade.

In our experimental model LPS-G stimulus induces an upregulation of MyD88 and NFkB levels besides NFkB nuclear translocation. Furthermore, the NF-xB pathways activate MAPK3/1 (also known as ERK1/2) members of the Mitogen-activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) in response to TLR ligand binding. In fact, the protein level expression of p-ERK and ERK appears upregulated in treated samples. Moreover, LPS-G provokes the release of the following cytokines after 24 h of treatment: IL-1α, IL-8, IL-1β, Eotaxin, Eotaxin 2, M-CSF, TGF-β1, TNF-α and TNF-β.

Among the released cytokines, IL-1α represents an important regulatory molecule during inflammatory process, and it is the most potent known inducer of bone demineralization, as well as, major changes in connective tissue matrix. IL-8 is a chemoattractant and a potent angiogenic factor. TNF-α and β are able to activate NFkB signaling, while Eotaxin is a eosinophil chemoattractant. TNF-α and IL-1β inhibit the osteogenic commitment of bone marrow stem cells. NFκB pathway activates IκB kinase (IKK)/NFκB to down-regulate MSCs osteogenic differentiation. IL-10 limits the pro-inflammatory activities of

Figure 2. Expression and localization of ERK½, p-ERK½, NFkB and MyD 88 in hPDLSCs treated with 5 μg/mL. Nuclei stained with TO-PRO (blue) in (A1, C1, E1, G1) untreated and (B1, D1, F1, H1) treated hPDLSCs with LPS-G 5 μg/mL. Expression of ERK½, p-ERK½, NFkB and MyD 88 (red) in untreated (A2, C2, E2, G2) and treated (B2, D2, F2, H2) hPDLSCs. Phalloidin staining of cytoskeleton actin (green) in untreated (A3, C3, E3, G3) and treated (B3, D3, F3, H3) hPDLSCs. Merged images of above three channels in untreated (A4, C4, E4 and G4) and treated (B4, D4, F4 and H4) hPDLSCs. Experiments were carried out in triplicate. Scale bars: 10 μm. Representative Western blots (I) and their corresponding densitometric analyses (J) of protein extracts from untreated (ctrl) and treated (LPS-G) hPDLSCs with LPS-G 5 μg/mL: ERK½, p-ERK½, MyD88 and NFkB. β-actin expression was used as housekeeping protein. Experiments were carried out in triplicate. Statistical analyses revealed a significant difference as follows: (J) **P<0.01 ctrl vs LPS-G 5 μg/mL.
and generally improves wound repair during periodontitis. In LPS-G/hPDLSCs a down-regulation of the IL-10 secretion occurs after 24 h of treatment. Since in vivo periodontium homeostasis is kept by the balance between active MMPs and TIMPs, and their disturbance can induce many chronic inflammatory diseases, the down-regulation of TIMP and IL-10 observed in the LPS-G/hPDLSCs treated, can contribute to explain the key role of the LPS in the inflammatory process leading in the periodontial tissue destruction in vivo. In synthesis, our study platform can represent an appropriate stem cells niche model leading to understand and evaluate the biological machinery of periodontal stem cells in response to LPS-G, mimicking in vitro an inflammatory process occurring in vivo. Further studies are needed to enhance our understanding of periodontal pathogenesis.
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