Seasonal expressions of prolactin, prolactin receptor and STAT5 in the scented glands of the male muskrats (Ondatra zibethicus)
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Abstract

Prolactin (PRL) production in mammals has been demonstrated in extrapituitary gland, which can activate autocrine/paracrine signaling pathways to regulate physiological activity. In the current study, we characterized the gene expression profiles of PRL, prolactin receptor (PRLR) and signal transducers and activators of transcription 5 (STAT5) in the scented glandular tissues of the muskrats, to further elucidate the relationship between PRL and the scented glandular functions of the muskrats. The weight and volume of the scented glands in the breeding season were significantly higher than those of the non-breeding season. Immunohistochemical data showed that PRL, PRLR and STAT5/ phospho-STAT5 (pSTAT5) were found in the glandular and epithelial cells of the scented glands in both seasons. Furthermore, we found that PRL, PRLR and STAT5 had higher immunoreactivities in the scented glands during the breeding season when compared to those of the non-breeding season. In parallel, the gene expressions of PRL, PRLR and STAT5 were significantly higher in the scented glands during the breeding season than those of the non-breeding season. The concentrations of PRL in scented glandular tissues and sera were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and their levels were both notably higher in the breeding season than those of the non-breeding season. These findings suggested that the scented glands of the muskrats were capable of extrapituitary synthesis of PRL, which might attribute PRL a specific function to an endocrine or autocrine/paracrine mediator.

Introduction

In all vertebrates, prolactin (PRL) is a 23-kDa polypeptide hormone that is synthesized and stored by lactotroph cells in the anterior pituitary gland, and regulates multiple biological functions via endocrine signaling.1-3 The numerous different biological functions of PRL are mediated by the prolactin receptor (PRLR), which is a single membrane-bound protein that belongs to class I of the cytokine receptor superfamily.4,5 There are three major PRLR isoforms described in rats are the long, intermediate, and short isoforms.3,5 The short and long forms differ from each other in the length of their cytoplasmic domain resulting from alternative splicing of a single primary transcript. Generally, the most abundant PRLR is the long isoform, whereas other intermediate and short forms also exist in mammals. All three types of PRLR are devoid of any intrinsic enzymatic activity, the first intracellular event in PRLR signaling is Janus Kinase-2 (JAK2) activation.6,7 It is the most common that the phosphorylated transcription factors activated by JAK2 that belong to the family of signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) are STAT1, STAT3 and STAT5 and are the central transducer molecules of the signal transduction pathways initiated by PRLR activation. Of the STAT1, STAT3 and STAT5 proteins, STAT5 is identified as the most important transducer of the long and intermediate isoforms of the PRLR, whereas few amounts of phosphorylated STAT1 and STAT3 are detected.8 After activation by PRL, STAT5 recruits transcription factors of different signaling pathways leading to cell growth and differentiation.9

In a broad sense, when secreted into the circulation, PRL binds to the PRLR and activates JAK2-STAT5 signaling, which modulates a wide variety of physiological processes, including lactation, metabolism, immune responses and reproduction. PRL-null mice are unable to lactate due to a failure of lobuloalveolar differentiation of the mammary epithelium, similarly to PRLR-null and STAT5a-conventional knockout mice.9,10 The expressions of PRLR have been discovered in many nonclassical endocrine organs, such as skins, testes, prostates and reproductive accessory tissues.11-13 The most ubiquitous presence of PRLR in multiple extrapituitary sites of PRL production implicates that some of these actions reflect local autocrine and paracrine roles of extrapituitary PRL.14 Autocrine and paracrine actions of PRL within the reproductive system are not only known to complement the endocrine actions of pituitary PRL in reproductive functions but also provide causal mechanisms for the induction of reproductive behavior.15 Recent studies in mice have suggested that circulating PRL is also detected in males, and it is found at lower levels than in females.16-18 The presence and abundance of PRL in the prostate, has provided a novel perspective on roles of PRL in the normal development, growth and function of the male reproductive physiology.19 Similarly, the finding of PRL and its receptor generally suggest that PRL positively modulates testicular functions in several ways.20 However, autocrine and paracrine mechanisms of PRL in male reproductive system remain unclear.

The muskrat (Ondatra zibethicus) is a kind of medium-sized, semi-aquatic rodent natively living in Canada, the United States and some parts of northern Mexico, later introduced in China.21 The muskrat has pre-
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cious medicinal and economic values because of its meat, fur and musk. Especially the musk, it is a widely-used and costly ingredient in traditional Chinese medicine and as a raw material for making high-end perfumes.\(^23\) The muskrat is a seasonal breeder with sexually active period of 8 months from March to October. The muskrat has a pair of the scented glands near its tail that give off a musky odor to attract females. During the breeding period, the male muskrat is willing to mate and produces mature spermatozoa, meanwhile, the scented glands secrete aromatic substance.\(^24,25\) Previouly, we observed that androgen receptor (AR), estrogen receptors (ER\(\alpha\) and ER\(\beta\)), 5-reductase type 2 and P450arom were present in the scented glandular tissues of the muskrats, which indicated the scented glands were the direct target organs for sex steroid hormones and its important autocrine/paracrine regulatory role in the scented glands of the muskrats.\(^23,25-27\) In the current study, we investigate the immunolocalizations and expression levels of PRL, PRLR and STAT5 as well as the concentrations of PRL in the scented glandular tissues during the breeding and non-breeding seasons, to elucidate the relationship between PRL and its receptor and the scented glandular functions of the muskrats.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Adult male muskrats were obtained in January (\(n=6\)) and May (\(n=6\)) from Xinji Muskrats Breeding Farm, Hebei Province, China. The muskrats were kept with a pattern of one male and one female in one enclosure. All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee at the Experimental Center of Beijing Forestry University in accordance with the guidelines. After anesthesia with ether, all animals were euthanized by decapitation. Each pair of the scented glandular and testicular tissues was obtained from the male muskrats. One side of the scented glandular and testicular tissues was obtained from the male muskrats. The aqueous phase was then transferred to a fresh tube, and an equal volume of diethylprocarbonate-treated water. The integrity of RNA was tested by gel electrophoresis and its concentration was measured with spectrophotometer.

**Histology**

The scented glands and testes were dehydrated in ethanol series and embedded in paraffin wax. Serial sections (5 \(\mu\)m) were mounted on slides coated with poly-L-lysine. Some sections were stained with hematoxylin-cosin (H&E) for observations of general histology. The rest of the sections were processed for immunohistochemistry.

The number of glandular cells in the muskrat scented glands was assessed with NIH ImageJ software, with the method described by Grishagin.\(^28\) Briefly, the histological photos were loaded in the ImageJ software and converted into 16-bit grayscale, followed by a threshold adjustment to distinguish cells from background. Finally, the cells were automatically identified by an appropriate particle feature.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Briefly, serial sections of the scented glandular tissues were incubated with 10% normal goat serum to reduce background staining and then incubated with primary rabbit polyclonal antibodies (1:200 dilutions) against PRL (bs-0508R) (Bioss Antibodies, Beijing, China), PRLR (bs-6445R) (Bioss Antibodies, Beijing, China), STAT5 (bs-1142R) (Bioss Antibodies), phospho-STAT5 (Ser725/730, AF3304) (Affinity Biosciences, OH, USA) for 12 h under 4°C. The sections were then incubated with a secondary antibody, goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with biotin and peroxidase with avidin, using rabbit ExtrAvidin Peroxidase staining Kit (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA), followed by visualizing with 20 mg 3, 3-diaminobenzidine (Wako, Tokyo, Japan) solution in 100 mL of 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.6, plus 17 \(\mu\)L \(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2\). The control sections were treated with normal rabbit IgG (c-0006, Bioss Antibodies) at 1:2000 dilutions instead of the primary antibody. Finally, the reacted sections were counterstained with haematoxylin solution. The immunostained slides were examined using a photomicroscope (BX51, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The immunohistochemical staining was determined as positive (+), strong positive (++), very strong positive (+++), and negative (−). Staining that was weak but higher than control was set as positive (+); the highest intensity staining was set as very strong positive (+++); staining intensity between + and +++ was set as strong positive (+).

**Total RNA isolation**

Total RNA from each sample was extracted using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA). Approximately 0.1 g of the scented glandular tissues were thawed and immediately homogenized in 1 mL of Trizol Reagent by ultrasonic crusher. The homogenate was incubated for 5 min at room temperature to allow the complete dissociation of nucleoprotein complexes. After the addition of 0.2 mL of chloroform, the mixture was vigorously shaken for 15 s at room temperature and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The aqueous phase was then transferred to a fresh tube, and an equal volume of isopropanol was added. Then the sample was kept for 10 min at room temperature. RNA was precipitated by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The RNA pellet was washed twice with 70% ethanol, briefly dried under air, and dissolved in 50 \(\mu\)L of diethylprocarbonate-treated water. The integrity of RNA was tested by gel electrophoresis and its concentration was measured with spectrophotometer.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

The first-strand cDNA from total RNA was synthesized using StarScript II First-strand cDNA Synthesis Mix (GenStar, Beijing, China). The 10 \(\mu\)L of reaction mixture contained 1.5 \(\mu\)g of total RNA, 0.5 \(\mu\)L of Random Primer, 0.5 \(\mu\)L of StarScript II RT Mix, 5 \(\mu\)L of 2 × Reaction mix, 2.5 \(\mu\)L of diethylprocarbonate-ddH\(_2\)O according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The cDNA was stored at −20°C. Primers used are listed in Table 1. The annealing temperature used for all primers was 60°C. The PCR reactions were carried out in a 10 \(\mu\)L volume using FastStart DNA MasterPlast SYBR green Kit (Roche Molecular System Inc., Basel, Switzerland). The PCR conditions were performed in ABI PRISM 7500 Fast Real-Time 7500 System (Applied

---

**Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers used for quantitative real-time PCR.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Production length (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prl</td>
<td>F: GGTCACTAATGACTGGCCCA R: CTCCGAGCTGACACAACT</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prdr</td>
<td>F: CATTCTGCTGAGAAGGGCCCA R: CATAGGCCTGCTGTCTCAT</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stat5</td>
<td>F: AAAGATCAAGGCGTGCTGACAGA R: GGACAGGGTCTTCACTTGCGAA</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actb</td>
<td>F: GACTCTGCTACTGCTGCTT R: AAGACTCTATGCGCAACCC</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as described below: 10 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 60°C and 30 s at 72°C. The melting curves were also performed to test the homogeneity of the PCR products by increasing the temperature progressively to 95°C, then decreasing it to 65°C for 60 s and increasing it again to 95°C. The expression level of each target mRNA relative to Actb mRNA was determined using the 2−ΔΔCt method.

**Hormone measurement**

The frozen portion of the scented glandular tissues of the male muskrats were lysed in a homogenizer containing PBS and the homogenates were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 5 min at 4°C. Blood samples were also centrifuged for 15 min at 1000 × g at 4°C. The supernatant of the homogenized tissue or plasma was collected and assayed immediately by ELISA Kit (Kit CSB-E06881r for PRL, Cusabio Biotech Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China). In brief, 50 µL per well of tissue samples, plasma samples or standard were added into the assay plate that were coated with goat-anti-rabbit IgG antibody. And then 50 µL of HRP-conjugate rabbit-anti-rat PRL antibody. The plate was mixed well and then incubated for 60 min at 37°C. After aspirating and washing 3 times with wash buffer, the assay plate was colored and read using a microplate reader (PT 3502G, Beijing Potenov Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) at 450 nm within 10 min. The detection limitation for PRL Kit is 0.125 ng/mL. The intra-assay and inter-assay coefficient of variation for PRL was 4.7% and 8.8%, respectively. The validation of ELISA Kit for the muskrats was checked by examining the parallelism between the standard curve and series diluted sample curve.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical comparisons with the Student’s t-test and correlation analysis were made using GraphPad Prism 7. A value of P<0.05 was considered indication of statistical significance.

**Results**

**Histology**

Morphological and histological observations of the scented glands in the breeding and non-breeding seasons were performed, and distinct variations were observed in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The picture of the muskrat was shown in Figure 1a. The scented glands, situated at hypogastrum and between the skin layer and muscle layer at the ventral base of the tail (Figure 1b). Relatively larger size of the scented glands in the breeding season than that of the non-breeding season was observed (Figure 1c). The average weight and volume of the scented glands in the breeding season were significantly higher than those of the non-breeding season (Figure 1 d,e). In addition, histological observations revealed three types of cells, including epithelial cells, glandular cells and interstitial cells (Figure 2 a,b). H&E staining of the muskrat testes also revealed that all types of germ cells were shown in the breeding season, while only spermatogonia and primary spermatocytes could be identified in the nonbreeding season (Figure 2 c,d). The density of glandular cell nuclei in the breeding season was notably higher than those in the non-breeding season, as shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Mean cell density of glandular cell nuclei ± SEM /mm² in the scented gland of the muskrat during the breeding and non-breeding seasons.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Glandular cell number (×10⁶)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td>97±4*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td>74±5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunolocalizations for PRL, PRLR, STAT5 and pSTAT5 were present in the scented glands of the muskrats during the breeding and non-breeding seasons, respectively (Figure 3). The positive staining of PRL and PRLR were observed intensely in the cytoplasm of the epithelial and glandular cells in both the breeding and non-breeding seasons, while no immunostaining was observed in the interstitial cells (Figure 3 a,b,c,f). The positive signal of STAT5 was localized at the cytoplasm of the glandular and epithelial cells in the breeding season, respectively (Figure 3 i), however, the immunostaining was only weakly detected in the cytoplasm of the epithelial and glandular cells in the non-breeding season, whereas no positive signal existed in the interstitial cells (Figure 3 j). The positive signal of pSTAT5 was detected in the cyto-

![Figure 1. Anatomic localization and morphology of the muskrats scented glands. a) The whole of the muskrat. b) Anatomic localization and morphology of the scented glands. c) The morphology of the scented glands after fixation. d) The average weight of the scented glands in the breeding and non-breeding seasons. e) The average volume of the scented glands in the breeding and non-breeding seasons. B, breeding season; NB, non-breeding season. The error bars represent means ±SEM (n = 6, each period). **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.](Image)
plasm of the epithelial and glandular cells in both breeding and non-breeding seasons, while no immunostaining was detected in the interstitial cells (Figure 3 a, c, o). Moreover, positive controls were set by using sections of mammary gland of the muskrats, PRL, PRLR, STAT5 and pSTAT5 were expressed in the mammary epithelial cells (Figure 3 c, g, k, p). No immunohistochemical signal was found in the negative controls (Figure 3 d, h, l, q). All staining images were quantified and all the positive signals were stronger in the breeding season, which were summarized in Table 3.

**Real-time PCR**

The mRNA expression levels of Prl, Prlr and Stat5 were compared between the breeding and non-breeding seasons in the scented glands of the muskrats (Figure 4). The level of Prl transcripts in the scented glands of the muskrats decreased significantly from the breeding season to the non-breeding season (Figure 4a). Similarly, the expressions of Prlr and Stat5 were significantly higher in the scented glands of the breeding season, and markedly reduced in the scented glands of the non-breeding season (Figure 4 b, c).

**Correlation analysis**

The linear correlation and scatter diagram of relative expressions of Prl, Prlr and Stat5 and weight of the scented glands of the muskrats during the breeding and non-breeding seasons were shown in Figure 5. Scatter diagram of relative expression of Prlr and Stat5 and weight of the scented glands were shown (Figure 5 b, c). The relative expressions of Prl, Prlr were positively correlated with the weight of the scented glands of the muskrats (r = 0.9795; r = 0.9163; P<0.01) (Figure 5 a, b). Similarly, the positive correlation was found between the relative expression of Stat5 and the scented glandular weight (r = 0.83; P<0.05) (Figure 5 c).

**Hormone assay**

The concentrations of PRL in the scented glands and sera of the muskrats during breeding and non-breeding seasons were shown in Figure 6. The PRL level was significantly higher in the breeding season (0.811 ± 0.176 ng/g) than that in the non-breeding season (0.379 ± 0.022 ng/g); P<0.01 (Figure 6 a). Similar trend was also observed in the sera, as the PRL level decreased from 5.297 ± 0.120 ng/ml in the breeding season to 3.512 ± 0.103 ng/ml in the non-breeding season; P<0.01 (Figure 6 b).

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrated that the expression levels of PRL mRNA and the concentrations of PRL in the scented glandular tissues were both notably higher in the breeding season, indicative of local PRL synthesis in the scented glands of the muskrats. In addition, the increases in immunoreactivity and size of the scented glands were positively correlated with the changes in the expression levels of PRLR and STAT5/pSTAT5 during the breeding season when compared to those of the non-breeding season. These findings suggested that the scented glands of the muskrats were capable of locally synthesizing PRL, and PRL might play an important regulatory role in the scented glandular function via endocrine or autocrine/paracrine manners.

The morphological characteristics of affiliated gonads usually show annual reproductive cycle changes with reproductive hormones. For instance, in viscacha, changes in the structure of the epididymis were likely due to a decrease in testosterone levels during the short period of gonadal regression. In wild Daurian ground squirrels, the relationship between the changes in size and weight of the gonad and the hormone levels indicated morphological changes of attached reproductive organs were usually accompanied by changes in reproductive hormone. In horses, the relationship between the changes in size and weight of the gonad and the hormone levels indicated morphological changes of attached reproductive organs were usually accompanied by changes in reproductive hormone.
extended light treatment increased the circulating PRL concentration, resulting in the active gonadal function, growth promotion and better hair coat condition.34 In this study, morphological changes in the scented glands of the muskrats were found throughout the annual reproductive cycle. In the breeding season, the weight and size of the scented glands were significantly increased, which established the basis for the secretion and storage of the scented glands and also was consistent with observations obtained previously in our laboratory.23,25,35,36 Correspondingly, the increased PRL levels in the circulation and the scented gland with the long-day period as well as the more glandular cells number and higher density of the epithelial cells were found during the breeding season, which implied that the increased circulating and/or local PRL in the scented glands might be involved in the enlarged scented gland and musk secretion during the breeding season.

It is well known that PRL is secreted from the pituitary, however, PRL and its receptor were found in a series of peripheral organs, indicating that there were autocrine or paracrine actions of PRL complementing the endocrine actions of pituitary PRL in multiple peripheral organs.3,17,37 The local production of PRL has been reported in the peripheral organs, such as placentae,38 skins,39 ovaries,40 testes and mammary glands.20,41,42 In African and Asian elephants, immunoreactive (ir)-PRL was detected in placental homogenates and immunolocalization was detected in trophoblasts, indicating that the placenta is the source of ir-PRL during pregnancy in elephants.38 In mice, PRL has been reported to stimulate hair growth in seasonally independent hair cycles, and acted as an autocrine hair growth modulator with catagen promoting functions.39 In the current study, PRL concentration and mRNA levels in the scented glandular tissues were detected to be higher in the breeding period, which suggested the scented glands of the muskrats had ability to locally synthesize PRL. Although the presence of PRLR isoforms in the scented gland were not distin-

Figure 3. Seasonal immunolocalization of PRL, PRLR, STAT5 and pSTAT5 in the scented glands of the muskrats. Black arrows indicate the three cell types: glandular cells, epithelial cells and interstitial cells. The first column (a,e,i,m) represents staining in the breeding season. The second column (b,f,j,o) represent staining in the non-breeding season. The third (c, g, k, p) and fourth (d, h, l, q) columns represent staining in the positive and negative control, respectively. a,b) Immunolocalization of PRL in the scented glands. e,f) Immunolocalization of PRLR in the scented glands. i,j) Immunolocalization of STAT5 in the scented glands. m,o) Immunolocalization of pSTAT5 in the scented glands. PRL, PRLR, STAT5 and pSTAT5 detected in the mammary glands were used as a positive control (c,g,k,p). Negative control sections were treated with normal rabbit serum instead of primary antisera (d,h,l,q). B, breeding season; NB, non-breeding season; PC, positive control; NC, negative control; GC, glandular cell; EC, epithelial cell; IC, interstitial cell. Scale bars: 25 μm.
guished, the data at protein and mRNA levels clearly showed that PRLR was present in the scented glands of muskrats, and therefore PRL might affect development and functions of the scented glands via autocrine/paracrine manners. The local PRL exerts various functions in the peripheral tissues. In human prostate, PRL had a characteristic effect on the prostatic morphology and it increased DNA synthesis in human prostatic tissue in organ culture. The present data showed the increased PRL expressions (protein/mRNA) were found together with the increased cell number of glandular and epithelial cells, and the locally expressed PRL were correlated to the weight of the scented glands, which suggested the local PRL might affect cell proliferation and scented glandular morphology. The lines of evidence had indicated that PRL acted at several levels of male reproduction in many mammals, playing a role in steroidogenesis and gametogenesis in the testis. Moreover, PRL originated from the testes could affect spermatogenesis via influencing the steroidogenesis. Interestingly, our previous studies demonstrated that ARs and steroidogenic enzymes were expressed in the scented glands of the muskrats and showed higher expression levels in the breeding season, which caused higher organic concentrations of testosterone and estrogen respectively. Combining those data together, it indicated that the local PRL could possibly also influence steroidogenesis in the scent-

![Figure 4](image1.png)

Figure 4. Seasonal mRNA expression of Prl (a), Prlr (b) and Stat5 (c) in the scented glands. The error bars represent means ± SEM (n = 3, each period). B, breeding season; NB, non-breeding season. *P<0.05.
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Figure 5. The linear correlation and scatter diagrams of relative expression of Prl, Prlr and Stat5 and weight of the scented glands of the muskrats. Each dot means the relative mRNA level and weight of the scented glands of six individual muskrats obtained in the breeding season (n = 3) and the non-breeding season (n = 3).

![Figure 6](image3.png)

Figure 6. Seasonal PRL concentrations in the scented glands (a) and sera (b) of the muskrats. The error bars represent means ± SEM (n = 6, each period). B, breeding season; NB, non-breeding season. **P<0.01.
ed glands of the muskrats and lead to the functional changes of scented glands during different seasons, which would be confirmed in the further study.

It is well established that PRL predominantly utilizes the STAT pathway to transduce its signal to activate PRLR to regulate more than 300 different biological functions in mammals. Numerous studies showed that STAT5 signaling pathway regulated by PRL played a predominant role in the development and differentiation of organs. The transcription factor STAT5 was well characterized as a critical transcription factor in the downstream of the PRLR signaling that mediates many PRL activities. In human mammary epithelial cells, endogenous PRL interacts with PRLR-activated STAT5 to regulate mammary activities, such as mammosogenesis, lactogenesis and post-lactational regression. During pregnancy, the differentiation of mammary alveolar cells and milk-protein gene expression in female mice coincided with STAT5 phosphorylation, indicating that the activation of STAT5 was a critical step in the terminal differentiation of mammary secretory epithelial cells. In prostate epithelial cells, the increased activation of STAT5 regulated by autocrine/paracrine PRL was associated with more biologically aggressive behavior of prostate cancer. A large body of evidence supported the fact that STAT5 was the critical pathway that was activated by PRL in tissues, and that its inhibition interfered with some disease progression in experimental models. In this study, we investigated the seasonal expressions of PRLR/pSTAT5 signaling pathway, and the results showed that PRLR and STAT5/pSTAT5 were localized in the epithelial cells and glandular cells. And furthermore, the mRNA levels of PRLR and STAT5 were correlated with their immunostaining results. Taken together, those data suggested that the PRLR/STAT5 signaling activated by PRL might be involved in maintaining and regulating the functions of the scented glands in the muskrats.

The present study demonstrated that PRL, PRLR and STAT5 were expressed in the scented glands of the muskrats and there was higher concentration of PRL in the scented glandular tissues during the breeding season. These findings suggested that PRL might affect functions of the scented glands via an autocrine/paracrine mechanism by regulating the PRLR/STAT5 signaling pathway. The data provided here will greatly help in determination of the PRL/STAT5 signaling pathway in the scented glands of the muskrats, the animal model of choice for studying the function of male accessory glands with regulation of endocrine hormone.
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